Long/short equity funds make up the largest single-strategy alternative mutual fund category, but their popularity hasn’t always translated into proper placement within a portfolio. The primary benefits of long/short equity funds are often underappreciated, and worse yet, the strategy is often square-pegged into an “alternatives” allocation of a client’s asset mix where it may provide less value.

The following offers a brief overview of the strategy, explaining how it works, how it helps, where it fits, and factors to consider when selecting a long/short equity manager. It also explores why the current market environment calls for a better understanding of this type of strategy and its positioning within client portfolios.

KEY TAKEAWAYS
- Long/short equity strategies can improve the return profile of a core portfolio and help minimize drawdowns if equities lose ground.
- The performance of long/short equity strategies is not wildly different from a traditional long-only equity benchmark. The stark contrast is in how long/short equity strategies achieve those returns.
- Reduced drawdown has important effects for investors. With only a limited amount of time to save for retirement, not every investor can wait for the “average” return of equities to make them whole after a severe market downturn.

Long/Short Equity Funds: Finding the Good, the Bad and the Ugly

Long/short equity strategies take long positions in stocks that have superior return characteristics (the good), while shorting stocks with a poor outlook (the bad and ugly). The ability to do both provides portfolio managers and analysts with more flexibility to utilize all of the information they uncover during the stock research or screening process.

Portfolio managers and analysts, whether discretionary or quantitative, expend tremendous effort in identifying securities with higher expected risk-adjusted returns than the market at large. Through these efforts, they likewise come across securities with lower expected risk-adjusted return profiles. A traditional long-only strategy’s ability to capitalize on the latter information is limited: they can underweight the stock with a poor outlook or avoid it. However, shorting the stock, as is done in long/short equity strategies, allows the manager to fully express those views.

The flexibility to short stocks has other important implications beyond a manager expressing a negative viewpoint. The ability to short stocks potentially gives the long/short equity strategy an additional source of alpha that doesn’t exist with long-only strategies. Meanwhile, shorting reduces equity market exposure. In tandem, the ability to exploit a larger opportunity set and reduce market exposure has historically generated compelling risk-adjusted returns for long/short equity strategies.
Long/Short: Lower Risk, Lower Drawdowns

The performance of long/short equity strategies is not wildly different from a traditional long-only equity benchmark. The stark contrast is in how long/short equity strategies achieve those returns; it’s historically been a less hair-raising experience.

As the table below shows, long/short equity strategies have come close to matching the performance of equities, but with essentially the same level of volatility as a 60/40 stock and bond portfolio (as measured by standard deviation). Just as important, the maximum drawdown of the typical long/short equity strategy was considerably lower than for the S&P 500, and even lower than a 60/40 portfolio that is designed to protect against a market crash.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annualized Return</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Sharpe Ratio</th>
<th>Maximum Drawdown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500 Index</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-50.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays US Agg. Bond Index</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-5.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% S&amp;P 500 Index/40% Barclays US Agg. Bond Index</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-32.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Suisse Long/Short Equity Index</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-22.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Morningstar 01/01/94 to 12/31/19

The next chart puts the reduced drawdown into perspective. The recovery required for a long/short equity strategy to get back to ground level after a major equity market downturn is much easier than for equities or the traditional stock and bond asset mix.

Source: Morningstar 01/01/94 to 12/31/16. The timeframe was selected based on the beginning date and the end date of the MSCI World Index’s most recent complete drawdown.
Reduced drawdown has important effects for investors—both real and psychological. With only a limited amount of time to save for retirement, not every investor can wait for the “average” return of equities to make them whole after a severe market downturn. For example, it would take an investor 4 years to make up for a 20% decline in the value of a $500,000 portfolio (assuming a 7% annual return). And even investors with longer investment horizons can get scared away when stocks are in free fall—exiting equities at precisely the wrong time.

Long/short equity strategies can minimize drawdown and help investors stay on track with their long-term investment objectives … but it helps if the long/short equity strategy is correctly positioned within a broader portfolio. Even so, it’s necessary to consider the overall liquidity profile of each manager during the underwriting process.

**A Core Holding, Not an Alternative**

Investors have categorized long/short equity strategies as an “alternative,” but the designation is misleading. The strategy serves investors better when it makes up a portion of their traditional “core” allocation of equity and fixed income, rather than a component of an alternative allocation.

The strategy invests in stocks, but since it often does so with net exposure to equities well below 100%, it behaves like an enhanced version of a stock and bond allocation. As the charts in the previous section show, long/short equity strategies have outperformed the 60/40 portfolio on a risk-adjusted basis, and with smaller drawdowns. If investors replace part of their traditional core allocation with long/short equity strategies, they can potentially improve overall performance of the core allocation while dampening volatility.

If allocators instead place a long/short equity strategy within the alternatives bucket of a client’s portfolio, they risk creating a portfolio with too much equity exposure. The alternatives allocation should be used for other asset classes and strategies whose return streams are truly uncorrelated to equity markets, such as managed futures strategies or convertible arbitrage strategies, to name a few.

Investors should let the alternatives allocation do the work of diversifying the broader portfolio, while using long/short equity strategies to fortify the core allocation.

**Stretched Valuations Make It Critical to Optimize Core Portfolios**

Equities have enjoyed a nine-year bull market run and with a few notable exceptions, market volatility has remained low. Quantitative easing around the world has pushed up bond prices. Against that backdrop, investors have likely been satisfied with the performance of their core allocation.

The road may get harder from here, however, underscoring the need to improve returns from the core portfolio. Currently, the Shiller Cyclically Adjusted P/E ratio of about 28 times earnings, well above its long-term average of 16.6. While equity valuations can stray far from their averages for extended periods, it is hard to envision much more upside from stocks, at least in terms of multiple expansions. Rates near historical lows make it likely that future returns from fixed income will be lower too. In a market environment that portends low future returns, every basis point counts. Long/short equity strategies can improve the return profile of the core portfolio and help minimize the drawdown if, or when, equities lose ground.
Investing involves risk, including possible loss of principal. The potential loss from a short sale is theoretically unlimited since the appreciation of the underlying asset also is theoretically unlimited. Foreign investment entails additional risk from adverse changes in currency exchange rates, tax regulation and potential market instability. Frequent trading by the Fund may reduce returns and increase the number of taxable transactions. Concentration of its portfolio in relatively few issuers may make the Fund more volatile than a diversified fund.

Standard Deviation is a statistical measurement of performance fluctuations. Generally, the higher the standard deviation, the greater the expected volatility of returns. Sharpe Ratio is a ratio developed to measure risk-adjusted performance. Drawdown is the peak-to-trough decline during a specific record period of an investment, fund or commodity. A drawdown is usually quoted as the percentage between the peak and the trough.

S&P 500 Index is a commonly recognized, market capitalization weighted index of 500 widely held equity securities, designed to measure broad U.S. equity performance.

Barclays Capital Aggregate Bond Index is a broad bond index covering most U.S. traded bonds and some foreign bonds traded in the U.S.

Credit Suisse Long/Short Equity Hedge Fund Index which is a subset of the Credit Suisse Hedge Fund Index that measures the aggregate performance of dedicated short bias funds.

The views expressed are those of the author at the time created. These views are subject to change at any time based on market and other conditions, and 361 Capital disclaims any responsibility to update such views. No forecasts can be guaranteed. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice or as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any 361 Capital portfolio.

This 361 Capital article is not intended to provide investment advice. This paper should not be construed as an offer to sell, a solicitation of an offer to buy, or a recommendation for any security by 361 Capital or any third-party. You are solely responsible for determining whether any investment, investment strategy, security or related transaction is appropriate for you based on your personal investment objectives, financial circumstances and risk tolerance. You should consult your legal or tax professional regarding your specific situation.
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